

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/038,950	01/03/2002	David J. Nelson	82636NAB 2136	
759	90 12/18/2003	EXAMINER		
Milton S. Sales	S	DOWLING, WILLIAM C		
Patent Legal Sta	iff			
Eastman Kodak	Company	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
343 State Street		2851		
Rochester, NY	14650-2201	DATE MAIL ED: 12/18/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application	No.	Applicant(s)	P			
Office Action Summary			10/038,950		NELSON ET AL.				
			Examiner		Art Unit	<del></del>			
			William C. E	Dowling	2851				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status									
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 January 2002</u> .								
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.								
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims									
4) 🖾	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the application.								
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6,7,10-12,14-21,26-28 and 30</u> is/are rejected.									
7) Claim(s) <u>5,8,9,13,22-25 and 29</u> is/are objected to.									
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.									
Applicati	on Papers					·			
• —	The specification is objected to by t								
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 March 2003</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.									
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
· —	Acknowledgment is made of a clair All b) Some * c) None of:	•	priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).				
<ul> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>									
13) <u></u> A si 3∶	cknowledgment is made of a claim nce a specific reference was included CFR 1.78.	for domesticed in the firs	c priority und st sentence d	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(exported of the specification or	e) (to a provisiona in an Application	• •			
<ul> <li>a)          The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.     </li> <li>14)          Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.     </li> </ul>									
Attachment	t(s)								
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (			4) Interview Summary 5) Notice of Informal Page	(PTO-413) Paper No( atent Application (PTC				
3) Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)	Paper No(s)		6) Other: .					

Art Unit: 2851

\*5

#### DETAILED ACTION

#### Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1, 21, 27 are provisionally rejected under the

judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 21, 32 of copending Application No. 10/038,950. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because: the claims are substantially similar and differ only in the changing of limitations such as "at least one" to - a - and the removal of limitations. The removal of elements is an obvious modification of the copending claims..

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Application/Control Number: 10/038,950 Page 3

Art Unit: 2851

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 20, 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 20 is indefinite because the word "in" makes it confusing.

Claim 26 has no proper antecedent for "said mask" in Claim 21.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United

Art Unit: 2851

States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1-2, 4, 6-7, 10-12, 14, 16, 21, 27-28, 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hara et al.

Hara et al. discloses a projection device comprising:
An arc lamp light source (3);

optical engine means (6R, 6G, 6B) which splits light from the source into red, green, and blue wavelength bands;

DMD spatial light modulators (2R, 2G, 2B) which provide image data and plural fiducial marks in a pattern (P1, P2);

combiner means (10R, 10G, 10B) which combines the modulated wavelengths;

a diverter (41) which combines a portion of the combined modulated beams to a sensor (42), which senses relative positions of the fiducial marks and sends the information to processing means (71) which determines x, y, and angle deviation errors;

actuator means comprising screws(55, 56, 57) with motor means (58, 59, 60) which move to resolve the errors, as well as a focus position, by moving the modulators and attached optical holding means.

Art Unit: 2851

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 3, 15, 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hara et al.

Hara et al. discloses the invention substantially as claimed but does not specify the use of LCD's uniforming optics or particulars of the claimed light source.

It is well known in the art to utilize reflective type LCD's in display apparatus, xenon and laser light sources and uniforming optics. Official notice is given of these facts.

Lacking any criticality to the functioning of the invention, it would have been obvious too one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to substitute such elements because one skilled in the art would have recognized the viability of such elements as substitutes. As regards claim 3, such is

Application/Control Number: 10/038,950 Page 6

Art Unit: 2851

deemed an obvious duplication of parts and also a sensor array may be deemed plurals sensors.

### Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 5, 8-9, 13, 22-25, 29 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. Claims 20, 26 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William C. Dowling whose telephone number is 703-308-1287. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Russ Adams can be reached on 703-308-2847. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9318.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-306-3431.

Art Unit: 2851

William C. Dowling

Page 7

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2851

wcd

.